• •

Reply to Office Action of November 23, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as currently amended and in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6 are currently active. Claims 1-4 have been amended by the current amendment. No new matter has been added.

In the outstanding office action, claims 1-6 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent No. 5,193,314 to Wormley et al.

Briefly recapitulating, the present invention (claim 1 as amended) is directed to a method for producing a turbine blade or vane. To that end, claim 1 defines the steps of providing the turbine blade or vane in a casting mold; fixing the casting in a first position, the first position corresponding to a predetermined position for the casting to be subjected to a pre-designed machining process; rotating the casting around the longitudinal axis of the turbine blade or vane from a first position to a second position, and subjecting the casting in the second position to the machining process without modifying steps of said pre-designed machining process.

As a consequence of these steps, any changes to the turbine blade or vane made after development has been concluded can be implemented by rotating the casting before applying the pre-designed machining process. See the paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the specification. The rotation step enables the leading-edge angle of the turbine blade or vane to be optimized.

In contrast thereto, the <u>Wormley et al.</u> patent discloses a method for producing a turbine blade or vane using a computer controlled grinding machine. However, the <u>Wormley et al.</u> patent does not teach or suggest a method including the steps of rotating the casting around the longitudinal axis of the turbine blade or vane from a first position to a second position, and subjecting the casting in the second position to the machining process without

Application No. 10/713,098 Reply to Office Action of November 23, 2005

modifying steps of said pre-designed machining process. Consequently, with <u>Wormley et al.</u>, any changes to the turbine blade or vane made after development has been concluded will result in the computer controlled grinding machine process being changed. Such a change is often complex and time consuming. With Applicants' invention, rotating the casting before applying the pre-designed machining process enables the leading-edge angle of the turbine blade or vane to be optimized in a more efficient manner.

For the foregoing reasons, <u>Wormley et al.</u> are not believed to anticipate or render obvious the subject matter defined by claim 1. Dependent claims 2-6 are believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is believed to be allowable.

An early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record

Registration No. 25,599

W. Todd Baker

Registration No. 45,265

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)